Fourier-Hilbert Transform for Certain Space of Generalized Functions

S. K. Q. Al-Omari Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering Technology, Al-Balqa Applied University, 15008 Amman 11134, Jordan s.k.q.alomari@fet.edu.jo shridehalomari@bau.edu.jo

Abstract. In this paper, we give a generalization of the Fourier-Hilbert transform on a class of Boehmians. Further, we show that the Fourier-Hilbert transform of a distribution is distribution which is analytic in the space of distributions of compact support. Further properties are also obtained.

Keywords- Hilbert transform; Fourier-Hilbert transform; distribution space; Boehmian space.

I. Introduction

Boehmians were first constructed generalization of regular Mikusinski operators. The minimal structure necessary for the construction of Boehmians consists of the following elements: (i) A set \Im ; (ii) A commutative semigroup (\Re ,*); (iii) An operation $\star: \Im \times \Re \to \Im$ such that for each $x \in \Im$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \Re, f \star (v_1 * v_2) = (f \star v_1) \star v_2$; (vi) collection $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that: (a) If $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}_{\ell}(\varepsilon_n) \in$ $\Delta, f \star \varepsilon_n = g \star \varepsilon_n$ for all n, then f = g; (b) If $(\varepsilon_n), (\sigma_n) \in \Delta$, then $(\varepsilon_n * \sigma_n) \in \Delta$. Δ is the set of all delta sequences. Consider $A = \{(f_n, \varepsilon_n): f_n \in$ $\mathfrak{I}, (\varepsilon_n) \in \Delta, f_n \star, \varepsilon_m = f_m \star \varepsilon_n, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \}. \text{ If } (f_n, \varepsilon_n), (g_n, \sigma_n) \in A, \quad f_n \star \sigma_m = (g_m, \varepsilon_n), \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$ N, then we say $(f_n \star \sigma_n) \sim (g_n, \varepsilon_n)$. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation in A. The space of equivalence classes in A is denoted by $G(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$. Elements of $G(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$ are general Boehmians.

Between \Im and $G(\Im, \Re, \Delta)$ there is a canonical embedding expressed as $f \to \frac{(f^*, \varepsilon_n)}{(\varepsilon_n)}$.

The operation \star can be extended to $G(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{R}, \Delta) \times \mathfrak{I}$ by $\frac{(f_n)}{(\varepsilon_n)} \star t \cdot \frac{(f_n \star t)}{(\varepsilon_n)}$. In $G(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$, two type of convergence:

i-A sequence (h_n) in $G(\mathfrak{I},\mathfrak{R},\Delta)$ is said to be δ convergent to h in $G(\mathfrak{I},\mathfrak{R},\Delta)$, denoted by $h_n \overset{\delta}{\to} h$, if there exists a delta sequence (ε_n) such that $(h_n \star \varepsilon_n)$, $(h \star \varepsilon_n) \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $h_n \star \varepsilon_k \to h \star \varepsilon_k$ as $n \to \infty$, in \mathfrak{I} , for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

ii-A sequence (h_n) in $G(\mathfrak{I},\mathfrak{R},\Delta)$ is said to be Δ convergent to h in $G(\mathfrak{I},\mathfrak{R},\Delta)$, denoted by $h_n \to h$, if there exists a $(\varepsilon_n) \in \Delta$ such that $(h_n - h) \star \varepsilon_n \in \mathfrak{I}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(h_n - h) \star \varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ in \mathfrak{I} .

The following is equivalent for the statement of δ convergence: $h_n \to h$ $(n \to \infty)$ in $G(\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$ if and only if there is $f_{n,k}, f_k \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $(\varepsilon_k) \in \Delta$ such that $h_n = \left[\frac{f_{n,k}}{\varepsilon_k}\right], h = \left[\frac{f_k}{\varepsilon_k}\right]$ and for each $k \in N, f_{n,k} \to f_k$ as $n \to \infty$ in \mathfrak{I} .

Several integral transforms were extended to various spaces of generalized functions; namely, distributions [3,16,19], tempered distributions [7], distributions of compact support [16,19], ultradistributions [1,11], tempered ultradistributions and tempered ultraBoehmians [1] and many others.

Recently, many research works are devoted to those inegral transforms that permit a factorization property of Fourier convolution type. Among those integrals we recall here are: Fourier transform, Mellin transform, Laplace transform and some others that have a lot of attraction; the reason this theory becomes an object of study of integral transforms of generalized functions and, hence, of Boehmians.

The Hilbert transform of f(x) via the Fourier transform is defined by

$$f_H(y) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (FI(x)cos(xy) - FR(x)sin(xy))dx$$
where

 $F(y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-iyt}dt := FR(y)$ iFI(y). FR(y) and FI(y) being the real and imaginary components of the Fourier transform of f(t). The convolution product of two functions is defined as [16]

 $(f*g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) f(x) g(x-t) dy$ and has a relationship with the Fourier transform with the factorization property

$$F(f * g)(y) = F(f)(y)F(g)(y).$$

II. Fourier-Hilbert Transform of **Boehmians**

To follow the results of this extension, reader is acquainted to be familiar with the concept of Boehmian spaces. If it were otherwise we refer to [1 - 6.8 - 9.13,15,18] for more details.

Let D be the space of test functions of bounded support over R. By delta sequence, we mean a subset of *D* of sequences $\{\delta_n\}$ such that :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_n(x) dx = 1;$$
Type equation here.

$$\|\delta_n\| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\delta_n(x)| dx < M, 0 < M \in R;$$
 4

and

$$supp\delta_n(x) = 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty, \qquad 5$$

where $supp \delta_n(x) = \{x \in R : \delta_n(x) \neq 0\}.$

The collection of all delta sequences is usually denoted as Δ .

Preposition 1. Let $\{\delta_n\} \in \Delta$, then we have

$$FR\delta_n(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_n(x) \cos(xy) dx \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
 and

$$FI\delta_n(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_n(x) \sin(xy) dx \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Let $L^{I}(R), L^{I}(R) = L^{I}$, be the space of complex valued Lebesgue integrable functions. Preposition 1 we establish this theorem:

Theorem 2. Let $f \in L^1$ then we have f_H (f * $\delta_n(y) \to f_H f(y)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof Let $f \in L^1$, $\{\delta_n\} \in \Delta$, then using of (11) implies

$$f_H(f * \delta_n)(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} FI(f * \delta_n)(x)\cos xy + FR(f * \delta_n)(x)\sin xy))dx$$
8

Since $(f * \delta_n)(\zeta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \delta_n(\zeta - t) dt \rightarrow$ $f(\zeta)$ as $n \to \infty$ we see that

$$FI(f * \delta_n)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f * \delta_n)(\zeta) sin(x\zeta) d\zeta$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) (\zeta - t) sin(x\zeta) d\zeta dt$$
$$\to \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) sin(xt) dt.$$

Similarly

$$FR(f * \delta_n)(x) \to FR(f)(x) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, invoking above equations in (26) we get $f_H(f * \delta_n)(y) \to f_H f(y) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Hence the theorem is completely proved.

By β_{L} we denote the space of integrable Boehmians, then β_{L^1} is a convolution algebra when multiplication by scalar, addition and convolution are defined as [9]

$$k \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f_n}{\delta_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{kf_n}{\delta_n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f_n}{\delta_n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g_n}{\gamma_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f_n * \gamma_n + g_n * \delta_n}{\delta_n * \gamma_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] * \left[\frac{g_n}{\gamma_n}\right] = \left[\frac{f_n * g_n}{\delta_n * \gamma_n}\right]$$

 $\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n} \right] * \left[\frac{g_n}{\gamma_n} \right] = \left[\frac{f_n * g_n}{\delta_n * \gamma_n} \right]$ Each function $f \in L^1$ is identified with the Boehmian $\left[\frac{f*\delta_n}{\delta_n}\right]$. Since $\left[\frac{\delta_n}{\delta_n}\right]$ corresponds to Dirac delta distribution δ , the kth-derivative of each $\rho \in \beta_{L^1}$ is defined as

$$D^k \rho = \rho * D^k \delta.$$

Following theorem has importance in the sense of

Theorem 3. Let $\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] \in \beta_{L^1}$ then the sequence

$$f_H(f_n)(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (FIf_n(x)cos(xy) +$$

 $FRf_n(x)sin(xy))dx$

converges uniformly on each compact subset K of R.

Proof. By aid of Theorem 2 and the concept of quotient of sequences we have

$$f_{H}(f_{n})(y) = f_{H}\left(f_{n} * \frac{\delta_{k}}{\delta_{k}}\right)(y)$$

$$= f_{H}\left(\frac{f_{n} * \delta_{k}}{\delta_{k}}\right)(y)$$

$$= f_{H}\left(f_{n} * \frac{\delta_{k}}{\delta_{k}}\right)(y)$$

$$\to f_{H}\frac{f_{k}}{\delta_{k}}(y) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

where convergence ranges over compact subsets of R.

The theorem is completely proved.

Let $\left| \frac{f_n}{\delta_n} \right| \in \beta_{L^1}$ then by virtue of Theorem 3 we define the Fourier-Hilbert transform of the Boehmian $\left|\frac{f_n}{s_n}\right| \in \beta_{L^1}$ as

$$\widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{f_k}{\delta_t} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n.$$
 9

on compact subsets of R.

Next objective is to establish that our definition is well-defined. For, let $\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] = \left[\frac{g_n}{\gamma_n}\right]$ in β_{L^i} then

$$f_n * \gamma_m = g_n * \delta_n$$
, for every $m, n \in N$.

Hence, applying the Fourier-Hilbert transform to both sides of above equation and using concept of qoutients of sequences imply

$$f_H(f_n * \gamma_m) = f_H(g_n * \delta_n) = f_H(g_n * \delta_m)$$

In particular, for n = m, and considering Theorem 3 we get

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_H f_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_H g_n$.

Hence,

$$\widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] = \widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{g_n}{\gamma_n}\right].$$

 $\widetilde{f_H}$ is therefore well-defined.

Theorem 4. The transform $\widetilde{f_H}$ is linear.

Proof Let $\rho_1 = \left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right]$ and $\rho_2 = \left[\frac{g_n}{\gamma_n}\right]$ be arbitrary in $\beta_{L'}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\rho_1 + \rho_2 = \left[\frac{f_n * \gamma_n + g_n * \delta_n}{\delta_n * \gamma_n}\right].$$

$$\widetilde{f_H}(\rho_1 + \rho_2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (f_H(f_n * \gamma_n) + f_H(g_n * \delta_n)).$$

By Theorem 2 we get

$$\widetilde{f_H}(
ho_1+
ho_2)=lim_{n o\infty}f_Hf_n+lim_{n o\infty}f_Hg_n$$
 Hence

 $\widetilde{f_H}(\rho_1+\rho_2)=\widetilde{f_H}\rho_1+\widetilde{f_H}\rho_2$ Further, if α is a complex number then, indeed,

$$\widetilde{f_H}(\alpha \rho_1) = \widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{\alpha f_n}{\delta_n} \right]$$

$$= \alpha lim_{n \to \infty} f_H f_n$$

$$= \alpha \widetilde{f_H} \rho_1.$$
Hence the theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. Let $\rho \in \beta_{L^{j}}$ and $\{\varepsilon_{k}\} \in \Delta$, then $\widetilde{f_H}(\rho * \varepsilon_n) = \widetilde{f_H}\rho = \widetilde{f_H}(\varepsilon_n * \rho)$

Proof Let $\rho = \left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] \in \beta_{L^i}$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f_H}(\rho * \varepsilon_n) &= \widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{f_n * \varepsilon_n}{\delta_n} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_H(f_n * \varepsilon_n) \\ \text{Hence,} \qquad \widetilde{f_H}(\rho * \varepsilon_n) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} f_H f_n &= \widetilde{f_H} \rho \end{split}$$

Similarly we proceed for $\widetilde{f_H}\rho = \widetilde{f_H}(\varepsilon_n * \rho)$.

This completes the theorem.

Following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 6. If $\widetilde{f_H} \rho_1 = 0$, then $\rho_1 = 0$.

Theorem 7. The Fourier-Hilbert transform $\widetilde{f_H}$ is continuous with respect to the δ -convergence.

Proof Let $\rho_n \stackrel{\delta}{\to} \rho$ in β_{L^1} as $n \to \infty$, then we show that $\widetilde{f_H}\rho_n \stackrel{\delta}{\to} \widetilde{f_H}\rho$ as $n \to \infty$. Using [15,Theorem 2.6] we find $f_{n,k}, f_k \in L^1$, $\{\delta_k\} \in \Delta$ such that $\left[\frac{f_{n,k}}{\delta_k}\right] =$

$$\rho_n$$
, $\left[\frac{f_k}{\delta_k}\right] = \rho$ and $f_{n,k} \to f_k$ as $n \to \infty$, $k \in N$.

Applying the Fourier-Hilbert transform for both sides implies $f_H f_{n,k} \to f_H f_k$ in the space of continuous functions. Therefore, considering limits we get

$$\widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_{n,k}}{\delta_k}\right] \to \widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_k}{\delta_k}\right]$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 8. The Fourier-Hilbert transform $\widetilde{f_H}$ is continuous with respect to the Δ -convergence.

Proof. Let $\rho_n \stackrel{\Delta}{\to} \rho$ as $n \to \infty$ in $\beta_{L'}$ then there is $\{f_n\} \in L^I \text{ and } \{\delta_k\} \in \Delta \text{ such that }$

$$(\rho_n - \rho) * \delta_n = \left[\frac{f_n * \delta_k}{\delta_k}\right]$$
 and $f_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Thus by aid of Theorem 3 and the hypothesis of the theorem we have

$$\widetilde{f_H}((\rho_n - \rho) * \delta_n) = \widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{f_n * \delta_k}{\delta_k} \right]$$

$$\to f_H (f_n * \delta_k) as \ n \to \infty$$

$$\to f_H f_n \ as \ n \to \infty$$

$$\to 0 \ as \ n \to \infty.$$

Therefore $\widetilde{f_H}(\rho_n - \rho) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus $\widetilde{f_H}\rho_n \stackrel{\Delta}{\to} \widetilde{f_H}\rho \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 9. Let $\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] \in \beta_{L'}$ and δ is the delta distribution, then we have

$$\widetilde{f_H}\left(\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] * \delta\right) = \widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right].$$

Proof Let $\rho = \left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_{-}}\right] \in \beta_{L^1}$, then we have

$$\widetilde{f_H}\left(\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] * \delta\right) = \widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_n * \delta}{\delta_n}\right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f_H(f_n * \delta)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} f_Hf_n.$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{f_H}\left(\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right] * \delta\right) = \widetilde{f_H}\left[\frac{f_n}{\delta_n}\right].$$

Theorem 10. The Fourier-Hilbert transform $F \sim_H$ is

Proof Let $\widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{f_n}{\delta} \right] = \widetilde{f_H} \left[\frac{g_n}{v_n} \right]$ then we $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_H f_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_H g_n$. Hence $f_H(\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n) = f_H(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n)$. That is $f_H f = f_H g$. The fact that F_H is one-to-one implies f = g.

Hence the theorem is completely proved.

III. Fourier-Hilbert Transform of **Distributions**

Denote by C(R) the space of smooth functions and C'(R) the strong dual of C of distributions of compact support over R.

Then, we have the following convolution theorem

Theorem 11. (Convolution Theorem) Let f and $g \in C$ then we have

$$f_H(f * g)(y) = \int_0^\infty (k_1(x)\cos(yx) + k_2(x)\sin(yx))dx$$
where
$$10$$

$$k_1(x) = FRf(x)FIg(x) + FIf(x)FRg(x)$$

and

$$k_2(x) = FRf(x)FRg(x) - FIf(x)FIg(x).$$

Proof To prove this theorem it is sufficient to establish that $k_1(x) = FI(f * g)(x)$ and $k_2(x) =$ FR(f * g)(x). We have

$$FI(f * g)(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(\gamma)g(y) - \gamma)dr)\cos(xy)$$

$$+ \sin(xy))dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y - \gamma)(\cos(xy) + \sin(xy))dydr$$

By change of variables and parity Fubiniz Theorem implies

$$FI(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\gamma) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(z) (\cos(x(z+\gamma)) + \sin(x(z+\gamma))) dz dr.$$

Taking into account the formulas $cos(x(z + \gamma)) =$ $cos(xz)cos(x\gamma) - sin(xz)sin(x\gamma)$ and sin(x(z + γ)) = $sin(xz)cos(x\gamma) + cos(xz)sin(x\gamma)$, Equation (17) follows from simple computation.

Hence the theorem is completely proved.

The fact that cos(xy), $sin(xy) \in C$ $FIf, FRf \in C'$. Hence, we have the following statement.

Definition 12. Let $f \in C'$ then we define the distributional Fourier-Hilbert transform of f as

$$\widehat{f_H}f(y) = \langle FIf(x), cos(xy) \rangle + \langle FRf(x), sin(xy) \rangle.$$

The extended transform $\widehat{f}_H f$ is clearly well-defined for each $f \in C'$.

Theorem 13. The distributional Fourier-Hilbert transform $\widehat{f_H}f$ is linear.

Proof. Let $f, g \in C'$ then their components FRf, FIf, FRg, $FIg \in C'$. Hence,

$$\widehat{f_H}(f+g)(y) = \langle FI(f+g)(x), \cos(xy) \rangle +$$

 $\langle FR(f+g)(x), sin(xy) \rangle$.

By factoring and rearranging components we get that $\widehat{f_H}(f+g)(y) = \widehat{f_H}f(y) + \widehat{f_H}g(y).$

$$\widehat{f_H}(kf)(y) = \langle kFIf(x), cos(xy) \rangle + \langle kFIf(x), sin(xy) \rangle +$$

Hence

$$\widehat{f_H}(kf)(y) = k\widehat{f_H}f(y).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 14. Let $f \in C'$ then the mapping $\widehat{f_H}f$ is

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}, f \in C', n \in N \text{ and } f_n \to f \text{ as}$ $n \to \infty$. Then, we have

$$\widehat{f_H}f_n(y) = \langle FIf_n(x), cos(xy) \rangle + \langle FRf_n(x), sin(xy) \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \langle FIf(x), cos(xy) \rangle + \langle FRf(x), sin(xy) \rangle$$

$$= \widehat{f_H}f(y) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$
Hence the theorem is completely proved.

Theorem 15 The mapping $\widehat{f}_H f$ is one-to-one.

Proof. Let $f, g \in C'$ and that $\widehat{f_H} f = \widehat{f_H} g$, then on account of (11) we get

$$\langle FIf(x), \cos xy \rangle + \langle FRf(x), \sin xy \rangle =$$

 $\langle FIg(x), \cos xy \rangle + \langle FRg(x), \sin xy \rangle$ Basic properties of inner product implies

 $\langle FIf(x) - FIg(x), cos(xy) \rangle + \langle FRf(x) FRg(x), sin(xy) \rangle = 0.$

Hence FIf(x) = FIg(x) and FRf(x) = FRg(x).

Therefore $f_H f(x) = FIf(x) + FRf(x) = FIg(x) +$

$$f_H f(x) = FIf(x) + FRf(x) = FIg(x) + FRg(x) = Ag(x)$$

for all x.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 16. Let $f \in C'$, then f is an analytic mapping and

$$D_{y}^{k}\widehat{f_{H}}f(y) = \langle FIf(x), D_{y}^{k}cos(xy)\rangle + \langle FRf(x), D_{y}^{k}sin(xy)\rangle.$$

Proof is straightforward. Detailed proof is therefore omitted.

[1]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q., Loonker D., Banerji P.K. and Kalla, S.L. (2008). Fourier sine(cosine) transform for ultradistributions and their extensions to tempered and ultraBoehmian spaces, Integ. Trans. Spl. Funct. 19(6), 453 -- 462.

- [2]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q.(2009). The Generalized Stieltjes and Fourier Transforms of Certain Spaces of Generalized Functions, Jord. J. Math. Stat.2(2),55-66.
- [3]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q. (2011). On the Distributional Mellin Transformation and its Extension to Boehmian Spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 6(17), 801-810.
- [4]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q. (2011). A Mellin Transform for a Space of Lebesgue Integrable Boehmians, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 6(32), 1597-1606.
- [5]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q.(2011). Generalized Functions for Double Sumudu Transformation, Intern.J. Algeb., 6(3), 139 146.
- [6]: Al-Omari, S.K.Q. (2011). Notes for Hartley Transforms of Generalized Functions, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. N.28, 21-30.
- [7]: Banerji, P.K., Al-Omari, S.K.Q. and Debnath, L.(2006). Tempered Distributional Fourier Sine(Cosine)Transform, Integ. Trans. Spl. Funct. 17(11),759-768.
- [8]: Boehme, T.K. (1973), The Support of Mikusinski Operators, Tran.Amer. Math. Soc.176,319-334.
- [9]: Mikusinski, P. (1987), Fourier transform for integrable Boehmians, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 17(3), 577--582
- [10]: R.P.Millane (1994), Analytic Properties of the Hartley transform and their Applications, Proc.IEEE, 82(3).
- [11] : S. K. Q. Al-Omari and J. F. Al-Omari (2012), Hartley Transform for Lp Boehmians and Spaces of Ultradistributions, International Mathematical Forum, 7(9), 433 443.
- [12] : Sundararajan, N. and Srinivas, Y.(2010), Fourier-Hilbert versus Hartley-Hilbert Transforms with some Geophysical Applications, Journal of Applied Geophysics 71,157-161.
- [13]: S. K. Q. Al-Omari and A. Kilicman (2012), On Diffraction Fresnel Transforms for Boehmians, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2011, Article ID 712746.
- [14]: Sundarajan, N. (1997), Fourier and Hartley Transforms: A Mathematical Twin. Indain J.pure Appl. Math. 8(10),1361-1365.
- [15]: Mikusinski, P. (1995), Tempered Boehmians and
- Ultradistributions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123(3), 813-817.
- [16]: Pathak, R. S. (1997). Integral transforms of Generalized Functions and their Applications, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Australia, Canada, India, Japan.
- [17]: Sundararajan, N. and Srinivas, Y. (2010). Fourier--Hilbert Versus Hartley--Hilbert Transforms with Some Geophysical Applications. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 71(4), 157--161.
- [18]: S.K.Q.Al-Omari and Kilicman, A. (2012). Note on Boehmians for Class of Optical Fresnel Wavelet Transforms, Journal of Function Spaces and Applications, Volume 2012, Article ID 405368, doi:10.1155/2012/405368.
- [19]: Zemanian, A.H. (1987). Generalized Integral Transformation, Dover Publications, Inc., New York. First published by interscience publishers, New York.